

## ARGUMENTS/REMARKS

Claims 1 through 60 were originally presented for examination in the present application. Claims 10, 12, 17, 23-25, 28-29, 34-36, 46, 48-50, 52-53, 55, 56, 59-60 were canceled in a preliminary amendment. Claims 1, 4, 5, 11, 22, 26, 30-33, 37-45, 47, 51, 54, 57 and 58 have been withdrawn without prejudice from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention.

The Office Action required restriction between the invention of Group I, claims 1, 22, and 26, directed to a process of detecting radiation, Group II, claims 2, 5 through 9, 12 through 16 and 18 through 21, directed to a fluid analyzer, Group III, claims 4 and 5, directed to a fluid analyzer using a thermometer and Group IV, claims 30 through 33, 37 through 45, 47, 51, 54, 57, and 58 directed to a fluid analyzer using a timing device. Applicants respectfully elect the invention of Group II, claims 2, 5 through 9, 12 through 16 and 18 through 21, directed to a fluid analyzer, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.499.

If for any reason the Examiner feels that consultation with would be helpful, in the advancement of the prosecution, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' attorney at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

June 30, 2008



---

Paul D. Greeley  
Registration No. 31,019  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.  
One Landmark Square, 10<sup>th</sup> floor  
Stamford, CT 06901-2682  
Tel: (203) 327-4500  
Fax: (203) 327-6401